

To: Independent Evaluation Arrangement ("IEA") From: System Management Board ("Board")

Management response to the IEA Evaluation of Gender at the workplace

Α. Commentary

- 1. The Board recognizes the importance of gender in the workplace, and the need for dedicated activities in this area, to ensure that "CGIAR and its Centers are gender diverse, equitable, and inclusive workplaces that can attract top talent from around the world and harness benefits of diversity to enhance organizational performance and delivery of its mission" (Evaluation ToR).
- 2. The Board therefore welcomes the IEA's Evaluation of Gender at the Workplace which it believes provides some useful insights and recommendations. While the evaluation was aimed primarily at gender, the Board is happy to see Recommendation 2 which calls for the updating of the 2015 Diversity and Inclusion Strategy, as gender is considered one important component amongst others in a wider effort to recognize and address diversity and inclusion needs to strengthen the work environment across CGIAR.
- 3. The Board notes the effort undertaken in the evaluation to cross reference a wide variety of perspectives, particularly with Centers, and feels that a fuller picture may have been gained by a more extensive approach to gathering inputs from Center leadership.
- 4. Particularly in the context of the new governance system of CGIAR, the Board agrees with the evaluation report's suggestion that Center leadership should have primary responsibility to follow-up on many of the recommended activities and as such bases its proposed action points on supporting the ability of Centers to deliver on key goals.
- 5. In developing the action plan as part of this management response the Board has applied the guiding principle of the CGIAR System Framework (article 12) which states: "The principle of subsidiarity should quide policies and implementation, and overreach must be avoided. The Centers should be responsible for system functions that can be more efficiently and effectively executed by them and by CGIAR research programs and for the use of funds provided to them. Center Boards have legal, governance and fiduciary responsibilities of their own, and these must be fully recognized and respected".
- 6. Given that the recommendations are targeted at various levels and entities of the CGIAR System, and with respect to the recent governance transition, the Board has responded to those recommendations within its mandate (Category A includes Recommendations 2,3a,3b,3c,3e,6) in terms of 'levels of acceptance' while commenting on those recommendations directed at other entities (Category B- Center includes Recommendations 3d,4,5,7,8,9 and C- Council includes Recommendations 1, 3e).

www.cgiar.org

Tel: +33 4 67 04 7575

B. Responses and Action Plan

Category A: Recommendations under the mandate of the **System Management Board**

| Evaluation Recommendation | Management Response by | Action(s) to be taken | A: Accountability | Timing | Funding implications |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| | Board | Board | R: Responsibility | | |
| Recommendation 2. | Accepted | 2.The Board to ask | A: System | Updated | No additional funding |
| To concretize the high-level | | Center leadership to | Management | strategy for | implications-but a |
| vision statement, the System | | provide mandate to the | Board | Board | recognition of Center |
| Management Board should | | HR CoP for coordinating | R: Centers | consideration | investment in the HR |
| require that the 2015 CGIAR | | the necessary updating | | in Q2 2018 | CoP. |
| Diversity and Inclusion Strategy | | of the 2015 Diversity | | | |
| be revised in light of the | | and Inclusion Strategy | | | |
| findings and recommendations | | to be presented to the | | | |
| of the 2016 IEA Evaluation and | | Board for its review and | | | |
| the changes in the organization | | adoption. | | | |
| and governance structure of the | | | | | |
| CGIAR System. | | | | | |
| Recommendation 3a. | Accepted | 3a.The Board to identify | A/R: System | Q4 2017 | No funding implications |
| CGIAR needs to put in (a) a | | a gender champion. | Management | | |
| "Gender "Champion" on the | | | Board | | |
| System Management Board | | | | | |
| Recommendation 3b. | Not accepted | 3b.The Board to ask | R: Center | Updated | No additional funding |
| CGIAR needs to put in place (b) | Explanation: | Center leadership to | leadership, HR | strategy for | implications-but a |
| a Task Force, supported by a | The Board feels that the | provide a mandate to | СоР | Board | recognition of Center |
| consultant, to revise and | updating of the 2015 | the HR CoP to update | | consideration | investment in the HR |
| update the 2015 CGIAR | Diversity and Inclusion | the 2016 Diversity and | | in Q2 2018 | CoP. |
| Diversity and Inclusion | Strategy should be driven | Inclusion Strategy to | | | |
| Strategy; | and coordinated by the HR | present to the System | | | |
| - | CoP. | Management Board. | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Evaluation Recommendation | Management Response by | Action(s) to be taken | A: Accountability | Timing | Funding implications |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | Board | Board | R: Responsibility | | |
| Recommendation 3c. | Not accepted | | | | |
| CGIAR needs to put in place (c) | Explanation: | | | | |
| the hiring of a Gender at the | The Board feels that given | | | | |
| Workplace Senior Advisor to | there is capacity within the | | | | |
| provide expert advice and | System, enhanced through | | | | |
| support to the System | the available collective | | | | |
| Management Board and | efforts of the Centers in the | | | | |
| individual Centers; | HR CoP, it can draw on | | | | |
| | these for expert advice as | | | | |
| | well as through the | | | | |
| | identified Gender Champion | | | | |
| | on the Board. Additional | | | | |
| | expertise may be acquired | | | | |
| | when needed. | | | | |
| Recommendation 6. | Accepted | 6. The Board to | A: Board | KPIs | No additional funding |
| The System Management Board | Noting that each Center | recommend to the | R: Centers via the | developed as | implications-but a |
| should require reporting every | Board is responsible and | Centers that the HR CoP | HR CoP | part of | recognition of Center |
| two years from the Centers on | accountable for setting | take the lead on | | updated | investment in the HR |
| progress against the key | policy and monitoring | coordination of | | Strategy by | CoP. |
| performance indicators defined | progress of their Center in | collective system level | | Q2 2018- | |
| in the Gender and Diversity | this area but recognizing the | monitoring and | | reporting | |
| Policy and the System-level | already commendable | reporting on progress, | | every 2 years | |
| Gender at the Workplace | efforts of the HR CoP in | building on Centers' | | onwards | |
| Strategy as well as a compilation | trying to collectively report | own monitoring and | | | |
| of innovative experiences or | on KPIs. | reporting (refer Rec 9c). | | | |
| lessons learned in advancing | | | | | |
| gender diversity. | | | | | |

Category B: Recommendations directed to the **Centers**

| Evaluation Recommendation | Comments from System Management Board |
|--|---|
| Recommendation 3d. | Partially supported |
| CGIAR needs to put in place (d) the reestablishment of the Gender | Explanation: It is up to Centers to decide on Gender in the Work Focal Points, considering that |
| at Work Focal Points in the <u>Centers</u> to assist their Senior | the HR CoP may offer the opportunity for a collective source of information and guidance for |
| Administration move their strategy forward; | Centers to draw on. |
| Recommendation 4. | Not supported |
| A new Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Community of Practice | Explanation: Given that the existing HR CoP is already active in this area, the Board feels that |
| should be established to enable members, drawn from both the | this be further supported by the Centers to strengthen its mandate, and possibly membership, |
| <u>Center</u> and System levels, to stay current with the field, share | to provide valuable knowledge and best practices. |
| knowledge and best practices, collectively maintain a web-based | |
| resource and communication hub. | |
| Recommendation 5. | Not Supported |
| A comprehensive System-wide Training Program for working | <u>Explanation:</u> A collective effort amongst Centers to identify needs and how to meet those needs |
| with diversity and implicit bias should be developed and | through their own awareness and training programs may be more feasible and beneficial. |
| customized for CGIAR. | |
| Recommendation 7. | Supported |
| All Centers should develop a compelling case outlining the | |
| benefits of gender diversity for their organizational performance | |
| in terms of its mission, strategic goals, workplace efficacy, and | |
| impact within one year of the approval of the System-level Vision | |
| Statement and Strategy (Recommendation 1 and 2). Centers | |
| should complement the case with a clear strategy with key | |
| performance indicators. | |
| Recommendation 8. | Supported |
| <u>Centers</u> should move beyond policies to take a more proactive | |
| and systematic approach to strengthening diversity and inclusion | |
| at the levels of practice and behavior. Particular emphasis should | |
| be given to proactive mobilization of female candidates in | |
| recruitment, particularly at the leadership and scientist levels. | |
| | |

| Evaluation Recommendation | Comments from System Management Board |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Recommendation 9. | Supported |
| Centers should prioritize building inclusive workplaces by | |
| (a) ensuring that Senior Leaders and Managers communicate | |
| systematically and regularly their commitment to fostering gender | |
| diversity and inclusion, | |
| (b) taking critical steps to strengthen inclusion, and | |
| (c) assessing progress every two years to determine whether they | |
| are closing the gap between men's and women's experiences of | |
| inclusion in the Centers. The findings and resulting action items | |
| should be shared with the Center Board. | |

Category C: Recommendations directed to the **System Council**

| Evaluation Recommendation | Comments from System Management Board |
|---|--|
| Recommendation 1. | Supported |
| The System Council adopt an overarching, high-level CGIAR Vision Statement on | |
| Gender Equity , covering both gender in research and gender at the workplace, in | |
| order to: a) enshrine the system's commitment to gender equity and b) provide an | |
| overall accountability framework on Gender. | |
| To action this recommendation, the Evaluation also recommends that the System | |
| Council appoint a 'Gender Champion' from among its members, to lead the | |
| development of the vision statement, drawing on input from other relevant bodies | |
| and Council members, and to ensure, ongoing, that gender issues in research and at | |
| the workplace are kept on the Council's agenda. | |
| Recommendation 3e. | Not supported |
| CGIAR needs to put in place the organizational infrastructure, processes and | Explanation: |
| mechanisms and resources to advance gender diversity, equity, and inclusion, | The System Management Board instead supports that the necessary activities |
| including: (e) the allocation of Windows 1 and 2 funding to support this | can be successfully carried out across the Centers rather than through the |
| organizational infrastructure for its first year of operations. | central positions proposed to be funded by this allocation. |