

Evaluation title: <u>GI Science Group</u> Evaluation 2024, under Science Groups Evaluations <u>https://iaes.cgiar.org/evaluation/science-groups-</u> <u>evaluations</u>. All recommendations copied from the Evaluation Report under endorsement by SIMEC.

Date of MR: 30 September 2024

Overall response to the evaluation: CGIAR thanks the evaluation team for their diligence in conducting this evaluation. In some cases, Recommendations have been partially accepted, with detailed actions to be defined by incoming Chief Scientist and 2025-30 Program/ Accelerator leadership.

Person-in-charge for Follow-up to Management Response: CGIAR Chief Scientist; 2025-30 Portfolio/ Program/ Accelerator leadership See tracker of the implementation status: <u>https://www.cgiar.org/management-response-actions-tracker/</u>

RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action

Recommendation 1 (for CGIAR's work on Genetic Innovation (GI) (for action by GISG and then hand over to Chief Scientist, end of 2024 and beyond):

GISG: Develop a transitional plan for GISG (the next six months and roll-out starting in 2025)

- a. Acknowledge and build upon past accomplishments: Document and leverage foundational achievements while addressing challenges from the past two years to inform the Breeding for Tomorrow (BT) Program design and implementation.
- b. Minimize unnecessary changes: Maintain consistency in processes, leadership, teams, and partnerships to foster ongoing success.
- c. **Enhance breeding programs and partnerships**: Strengthen CGIAR and NARES breeding programs, their interactions, and public-private partnerships.
- d. **Include key voices in planning**: Ensure the participation of individuals with deep understanding in the development of the next design phase.

Management Response	Fully accepted □	Partially accepted 🛛	Not accepted \Box
Management Response	The proposed B4T Science Program is being d	eveloped with a view to mai	ntaining and building on the
(commentary):	foundational achievements of the 2022–24 G 2025 will be developed to guide continuing wo The B4T proposal writing team had access to lessons and seek to maintain and build upon f was discussed as part of participatory "write-s general proposal development guidance of ma new agenda items also spoke to this requirem The B4T Science Program is being designed to	ork as well as the roll-out of the IAES GI evaluation recon oundational achievements fr shops" held as part of the B4 intaining 80% of existing we ent for continuity of what ha	the new Program. mmendations and did incorporate rom 2022—24 in the draft proposal. This T proposal development process. The ork and processes and proposing 20% as been built.
	network co-ordination functions. This critical c	component is fully described	in the DEVELOP Area of Work (AoW)



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and							
CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action							
	within the draft proposal. Plans to develop a PPP breeding platform are well advanced and may receive additional W3/ bilateral funding.						
In the near term, existing GI leadership will work closely with transitional B4T leadership to ensure a smooth transition and continuity of critical work. As the management arrangements for the new, 2025—30 Portfolio and B4T Science Program are developed further and rolled out in the coming months, the appropriate balance of continuity vs. change will need to be considered by the incoming CGIAR Chief Scientist and Portfolio/ Program/ Accelerator leadership.							
The B4T Science Program Writing Team consists of 17 members drawn from participating Centers and GI management; including representation from ILRI, CIFOR-ICRAF, WorldFish, and WorldVeg. In addition, the Team has drawn on specialist support from Initiative management teams. Upwards of 50 GI science specialists took part in a series of participatory "write-shops" in the UK and the Philippines as part of the B4T proposal development process. Feedback from the CG-NARES Aide Memoir group was also sought as permitted by the fairly short proposal writing window. Key partners and stakeholders will continue to be engaged through the inception phase. Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted: GISG: Develop a transitional plan for GISG (the next six months and roll-out starting in 2025) - Partially accepted, noting that the transitional leadership of the Breeding for Tomorrow (B4T) Science Program need to play an important role in transition planning (b) Partially accepted, with detailed actions to be defined by incoming Chief Scientist and 202530, Program/ Accelerator leadership, and functions overseeing CGIAR's country and regional engagement							
(a) (c) (d) – <mark>fully accepted</mark>		Mana	agement Follow	-up			
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	-	unding required	If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?		
Develop an inception plan for B4T to guide continuing work as well as the roll-out of the new Program.	GI and (transitional) B4T leadership	2025	Yes□	No□			
Consider, and where appropriate incorporate, the	GI leadership; B4T Writing	2024	Yes□	No□			



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and								
	CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action							
IAES GISG recommendations as	Team;							
part of the B4T proposal writing	(transitional)							
process	B4T leadership							
Fully implement the B4T	CGIAR Chief	TBC	Yes□	No□				
Accelerated Breeding AoW	Scientist and							
	2025-30							
	Portfolio/							
	Program/							
	Accelerator							
	leadership							
 Recommendation 2 (for CGIAR's work on Genetic Innovation (GI) (for action by GISG and then hand over to Chief Scientist, end of 2024 and beyond)/ and for portfolio 2025-30/science programs (for Science Program proposal authors/program management): CGIAR and BT science program writing team/management: enhance partnership effectiveness and communication a. Leverage breeding networks: Clarify roles and responsibilities of CGIAR, NARES, and partners in product design, development, delivery, and dissemination; increase NARES's responsibility and autonomy and incorporate Advanced Research Institutions (ARIS), as appropriate. b. Conduct specialized training: Offer short, impactful training-of-trainers modules for scientists on partnership identification, creation, and management. c. Re-balance resources: Shift the focus from product development to product dissemination and lifecycle management. d. Promote participatory budgeting: Ensure inclusive and transparent budget allocation processes to boost ownership and motivation. 								
Management Response	Fully accepted □			artially accepted 🗵				
Management Response (commentary):	Fully accepted⊡ Partially accepted≥ Not accepted⊡ (a) The clarification of roles, responsibilities and empowerment of our NARES partners remains a high priority within the B4T draft proposal. The B4T proposal also envisages widening the regional crop breeding networks to include universities and SMEs as well as close collaboration with the CapSha Accelerator. The ENABLE AoW includes a partnership sub-AoW which will assist in role and responsibility definition, the Accelerated Breeding AoW will continue GI's partner network assessments (to understand levels of contribution and areas for improvement) to bolster appropriate roles and responsibilities, plus the Market Intelligence AoW's (to be developed) Product Design Standard will stipulate clear roles and accountabilities of CGIAR, NARES, and partners in product design. (b) The format of specialised partnership training will need to be developed under the new management structure. However, the GISG Aide Memoir events with high-level NARES leadership, identified the need to							
	develop partner	ship health m	netrics (which ar	re now being dev	veloped), which allows the identification of			



				evaluand (short term)	, and for CGIAR Science Program teams and			
CGIAR management (mid to long								
	partnership strength and health. Moreover, in the PARTNER / TRANSFORM sub-AoW, breeding networks will continue to innovate and implement impact-oriented , sustainable partnership models in which partners systematically contribute to innovation, priority setting, decision-making, and the development and delivery of farmer-valued cultivars.							
CGIAR and BT science program v	 (c) The proposed B4T Science Program does not envisage any significant shift of resources from development to dissemination as a principle; but does recognize the need to prioritize and adequately resource the latter. Product development will remain important given the need to continuously breed for a rapidly changing world. Detailed processes and criteria for the allocation of resources across AoWs will be developed by incoming Portfolio and Program leadership. In the FOSTER sub-AoW (Market Intelligence AoW), a focus on product lifecycle management will provide clear feedback loops between the AoWs Inclusive Delivery and Market Intelligence and hence drive the need for additional breeding based on demand-led principles. d) The recommendation is noted with thanks and will be considered in the further development and operationalization of the management arrangements for the 2025-30 Portfolio. ief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted: iAR and BT science program writing team/management: enhance partnership effectiveness and communication, (b), (c) & (d) - Partially accept, th detailed actions to be defined by incoming Chief Scientist and 2025-30, Program/ Accelerator leadership, and functions overseeing CGIAR's untry and regional engagement 							
		Mana	agement Follo	ow-up				
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additiona to implemen recommend		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?			
a) Fully implement the Enable AoW of B4T	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 202530 B4T leadership	ТВС	Yes□	No□				
b) Fully implement the PARTNER / TRANSFORM sub-AoW of B4T	CGIAR Chief Scientist and	ТВС	Yes□	No□				



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACT								
RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action								
	202530 B4T							
	leadership							
c) Fully implement the	CGIAR Chief	твс	Yes□	No□				
c) Fully implement the FOSTER sub-AoW	Scientist; 2025-	IDC	res					
(Market Intelligence	-30 Portfolio/							
AoW)	Program/							
	Accelerator							
	leadership	70.0						
(d) The recommendation is	CGIAR Chief	ТВС	Yes□	No□				
noted with thanks and will be	Scientist; 2025-							
considered in the further	-30, Portfolio/							
development and	Program/							
operationalization of the	Accelerator							
management arrangements for	leadership;							
the 202530 Portfolio.	Finance							
Recommendation 3 (for portfo	olio 2025-30/scie	ence program	s (for Science Pr	ogram proposal	author	s/program management):		
 a. Develop an effective le skills; differentiate technic b. Adopt private sector pri efficiently. c. Provide leadership train private sector training mo 	 BT science program writing team/management: cultivate leadership with a seed business mindset a. Develop an effective leadership team: Balance scientific expertise with seed business, operational excellence, and change management skills; differentiate technical and leadership roles, dedicating equal effort to both. b. Adopt private sector principles: Integrate successful private company principles into BT practices to deliver better varieties to farmers more efficiently. c. Provide leadership training: Offer training at all management levels to build teams based on empathy, trust, and communication; use proven private sector training modules for soft skill development. 							
CGIAR values.		ie with funders				CGIAR center finances while upholding		
Management Response	Fully accepted□			artially accepted $ar{\!$		<i>Not accepted</i>		
Management Response (commentary):	(a) and (b): The recommendations are noted with thanks. Across GI leadership, Initiatives, and Centers, CGIAR already brings together a wide range of skills, including private sector breeding and seed company experience. Work is underway and envisaged to continue under the B4T Science Program to recruit e.g. "experienced product development managers" and the new ENABLE AoW describes new "portfolio management" activities and functions akin to private seed companies. The required mix of skills and strategies to attract, retain, and grow relevant							
		•	•		•	of the B4T Science Program.		



		• •		uand (short term)	, and for CGIAR Science Program teams and					
CGIAR management (mid to long										
	(c) As the management arrangements for the new, 2025-30 Portfolio are developed further and rolled out, the									
	feasibility of and appropriate modalities for leadership training will be considered.									
	(d) The process to design CGIAR's 2025-30 Portfolio is designed to foster alignment with Funders and across									
	different sources and types of funding. Depending on decisions by the new management system and Chief									
	Scientist, a similar advisory system, which includes funders and subject matter experts, may be put in place which									
	builds on the forr	ner Champions	and Challengers of	of Genetic Innovat	ion (CCGIG) to provide informal advice on					
	breeding work in	the Program.								
Brief explanatory statement if	recommendatio	n is rejected o	or partially accept	oted: Detailed act	ions to be defined by incoming CGIAR Chief					
Scientist and 2025-30, Program/					, <u>-</u>					
	-		agement Follow-		-					
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional fu	unding required	If further funding required – how much					
			to implement		and what is to be done if no funds					
			recommendation	on	available?					
	CGIAR Chief	ТВС	Yes□	No□						
a) & b) Fully implement the	Scientist; 2025-									
Enable AoW of B4T	30, Program/									
	Accelerator									
	leadership;									
c) The recommendation is noted	CGIAR Chief	ТВС	Yes□	No□						
with thanks and will be	Scientist; 2025-	ibc								
considered in the further	30, Portfolio/									
development and	Program/									
operationalization of the	Accelerator									
management arrangements for	leadership and									
the 202530 Portfolio.	People and									
	Culture									
d) Consider developing a	CGIAR Chief	ТВС	Yes□	No□						
stakeholder communication	Scientist and									
platform for B4T, akin to the	2025-30;									
GISG CCGIG	Program/									
	Accelerator									
	leadership,									



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and						
CGIAR management (mid to long	term), to facilitate	uptake and ac	tion			
	Finance,					
	Resource					
	Mobilization					
Recommendation 4 (for portfe	olio 2025-30/scie	ence programs	s (for Science Pr	ogram proposal	authors/program management):	
BT science program writing te						
					rategy and individual roles; emphasize effective	
roll-out, operational excel						
b. Balance technical and	organizational	focus: Evenly	/ allocate resour	ces between tec	hnical solutions and continuous operational	
improvement.						
c. Design and implement	training program	s : Design and i	mplement training	g to foster desired	behaviours and celebrate examples of success	
at all organisational levels						
Management Response	Fully accepted □		Pa	artially accepted 🗵	Not accepted □	
Management Response	To develop an ap	propriate Mana	gement Response	against this recon	nmendation, input from specific functions such	
(commentary):					ture and Management Arrangements must first	
	be operationalize	d. However, wo	ork on operational	excellence (delive	red during the GISG portfolio) will continue	
			NG RESOURCES A		5 , ,	
	It is also worth n	oting (b) that G	SISG made conside	erable progress in	developing evidence-based tools (and even	
					ogrammes which is expected to be further	
	developed and in					
Brief explanatory statement i				ted: with detailed	actions to be defined by incoming CGIAR	
Chief Scientist and 202530, Pro					,, <u>,</u> ,	
Partially accepted a) to c)	J · , ····	F				
		Mana	agement Follow-	•up		
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe		inding required	If further funding required – how much	
•	•		to implement	5 1	and what is to be done if no funds	
			recommendati	on	available?	
(a) Fully implement the B4T	CGIAR Chief	ТВС	Yes□	No□		
BREEDING RESOURCES AoW.	Scientist and					
	202530;					
	Program/					



DECOMMENDATIONS and ACT								
RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action								
CGIAR management (mid to long		e uptake and	l action		-			
	Accelerator							
	leadership,							
(b)The recommendation is	CGIAR Chief	ТВС	Yes□	No□				
noted with thanks and will be	Scientist and							
considered in the further	202530;							
development and	Program/							
operationalization of the	Accelerator							
management arrangements for	leadership,							
the 2025-30 Portfolio.	Finance,							
	Resource							
	Mobilization							
(c) See to action under			Yes□	No□				
Recommendation 3 (c)								
Recommendation 5 (for CGIA	R's work on Gen	etic Innova	tion (GI) (for a	ction by GISG an	d then ha	and over to Chief Scientist, end of		
2024 and beyond):								
CGIAR: Enhance GI's role in s	ustainable agri-	food system	IS					
a. Promote a common un	derstanding: Ens	sure GI's con	tribution to prod	uctivity, nutrition,	environme	ental sustainability, and that inclusion is		
widely understood.	_							
b. Drive market intelligen	ce: Anticipate futu	ire genetic in	novation needs i	n response to syste	emic and o	other relevant changes.		
						lant health research, promoting crop and		
varietal diversity for resili			• •	5	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
				optimize region-s	pecific pro	oduction and food systems, maintaining		
methodologies and standa			. ,		· ·	, , , ,		
Management Response	Fully accepted 🗵			Partially accepte	ed□	Not accepted \Box		
Management Response	(a) In B4T, the o	lear underst	anding that gene			ve all of CGIAR's five impact areas (and		
(commentary):	not just product	ivity) is re-er	nphasized in the	proposal. Inclusion	n, both at t	the level of defining breeding objectives		
	as well as ensuring inclusive access to seed of new varieties and trees is also explicit within the proposed							
	continuing and new structures.							
	(b) This is explicitly fostered in the MARKET INTELLIGENCE AOW and the B4T proposal envisages further links to							
		One CGIAR foresight and modelling activities.						
					, this to ha	appen - the B4T proposal delineates		
						he programs and accelerators to		
	•	•	5	5				
	facilitate genetic gain integration into broader contexts (particularly agronomy and plant health).							



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACT	IONS: grouped ter	mporally for ind	lividual SG as eval	uand (short term)	, and for CGIAR Science Program teams and			
CGIAR management (mid to long	term), to facilitate	e uptake and ac	tion		·			
	(d) This is explicitly addressed in the MARKET INTELLIGENCE AOW and proposed linkages with the scaling							
accelerator, which incorporates elements of the foundational "regional initiatives".								
Brief explanatory statement i	f recommendatio	n is rejected o	or partially accept	oted:				
Management Follow-up								
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	to implement		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?			
(a) The recommendation is noted with thanks and will be considered in the further development and operationalization of the management arrangements for the 2025-30 Portfolio.	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 202530; Program/ Accelerator leadership,	твс	Yes□	No□				
(b) Fully implement the B4T Market Intelligence AoW	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 202530; Program/ Accelerator leadership,	ТВС						
(c) The recommendation is noted with thanks and will be considered in the further development and operationalization of the management arrangements for the 2025-30 Portfolio.	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 202530; Program/ Accelerator leadership,	ТВС						
(d) Fully implement the B4T Market Intelligence AoW	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 202530; Program/	ТВС						



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and							
CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action							
	Accelerator						
	leadership,						
Recommendation 6 (for portfo	olio 2025-30/scie	ence program	s (for Science Pr	ogram proposal	authors/program management):		
BT science program writing te							
					s to enhancing the entire system.		
b. Document role impacts:	-						
c. Facilitate coordination a	nd collaboration	: Encourage co	llaboration at regio	onal, national, and	global levels with dedicated budget allocations.		
Management Response	Fully accepted □		Pa	artially accepted 🗵	Not accepted \Box		
Management Response	To develop an ap	propriate Mana	gement Response	against this recon	nmendation, input from specific functions such		
(commentary):	as the Chief Scie	ntist is required	l and CGIAR's new	<pre>operational struct</pre>	ture and Management Arrangements must first		
	be operationalize	d. However, B4	T clearly identifies	s the roles of each	work area – MARKET INTELLIGENCE,		
	ACCELERATED BI	REEDING, INCL	USIVE DELIVERY,	SUPPORT and ENA	ABLE – and how each will contribute towards		
	the goal of the ov	/erall program.	Jointly and through	gh coordination and	d collaboration with other science programs		
	and accelerators,	a system-wide	optimization min	dset can be achiev	ed through our process-based activity, FAIR		
	data principle and	d partnership d	riven outcomes.				
Brief explanatory statement if	recommendatio	n is rejected o	or partially accept	oted: with detailed	actions to be defined by incoming CGIAR		
Chief Scientist and 202530, Pro					, 5		
Partially accepted a) to c)							
		Mana	agement Follow-	-up			
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional fu	unding required	If further funding required – how much		
•	•		to implement		and what is to be done if no funds		
			recommendation	on	available?		
Fully implement the B4T AoWs:	CGIAR Chief	ТВС	Yes□	No□			
MARKET INTELLIGENCE,	Scientist and						
ACCELERATED BREEDING,	202530;						
INCLUSIVE DELIVERY, SUPPORT	Program/						
and ENABLE	Accelerator						
	leadership,						
Recommendation 7 (for CGIA	R's work on Gene	tic Innovatio	n (GI) (for actio	n by GISG and th	en hand over to Chief Scientist, end of		
•					sal authors/program management):		



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action

CGIAR and BT science program writing team/management: rationalize resource allocation

- a. Address budgeting issues: Ensure financial stability to support long-term planning and continuity.
- b. Align funding with goals: Ensure all funding sources result in complementary goals and activities, providing financial stability and transparent budgeting.
- c. Foster open dialogue: Establish candid conversations between funders and BT leadership to prioritize activities and their funding effectively.
- d. Clarify roles and reporting Structures: Define roles for CGIAR and NARES staff working on BT projects and formalize reporting structures.
- e. **Ensure transparent budget allocations**: Use unbiased methods during budget allocations, considering contingency budgets to handle potential cuts.

Management Response	Fully accepted	Partially accepted 🛛	<i>Not accepted □</i>					
Management Response	The recommendation is noted with thanks. The recommendation will be considered in the further development							
(commentary):	and operationalization of the management arrangements for the 202530 Portfolio. The former GISG Champions							
	and Challengers of Genetic Innovation (CCGIG) forum, which included key funders and subject matter experts,							
	could be a model for the new B4T management	to consider (c).						

Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted: Detailed actions to be defined by incoming CGIAR Chief Scientist and 2025--30, Program/ Accelerator leadership

Partially accepted a) to e)

Management Follow-up						
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?	
The recommendation is noted with thanks and will be considered in the further development and operationalization of the management arrangements for the 2025-30 Portfolio. (see also action for 3 (d) above)	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 2025-30; Program/ Accelerator leadership, Finance, Resource Mobilization	ТВС	Yes□	No□		



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action

Recommendation 8 (for CGIAR's work on Genetic Innovation (GI) (for action by GISG and then hand over to Chief Scientist, end of 2024 and beyond)/ and for portfolio 2025-30/science programs (for Science Program proposal authors/program management):

CGIAR and BT science program writing team/management: embrace complexity in BT design and implementation

- a. Utilize complexity tools: Apply concepts designed for complex interventions in complex systems to guide the BT program.
- b. **Co-create a ToC**: Develop an overarching ToC with key stakeholders for shared understanding and ownership.
- c. **Develop a nested Program representation**: Detail pathways for change, contributions, interactions, feedback loops, and assumptions within the BT program.

d. Build a complexity-aware PMEL System: Create a system to fulfil accountability and learning needs, allowing for swift adaptations.

Management Response	Fully accepted 🛛	Partially accepted 🛛	Not accepted					
Management Response	The recommendation is noted with thanks and will be considered in the further development and							
(commentary):	operationalization of the management arrangements for the 202530 Portfolio. However, it should be noted that							
	the B4T ToC recognizes the complexity in our innovation pathways. The proposal does not provide sufficient space							
	and opportunity to address complexity theory, system science or other conceptual frameworks and their							
	associated methods. Furthermore, tools are being developed and applied to enable success in a complex							
	environment, including sophisticated management platforms, monitoring systems, impact evaluations, partnership							
	strategies and cyclical learning processes. An example is the GISG Breeding Portal which is a purpose-built system							
	which enshrines and embeds transpar							
	common objective articulation- improving effectiveness and communication while streamlining administration.							
	(a) To develop an appropriate Management Response against this recommendation, input from specific functions							
	such as the Chief Scientist is required and CGIAR's new operational structure and Management Arrangements							
	must first be operationalized. However, the GISG is fully aware of complexity approaches and tools.							
	(b)The TOC (Program and AoW) has been designed with a supporting table indicating the stakeholders involved in							
	the process. Q1/2025 we expect to run a deep dive for each level (Program/AoW) involving the stakeholders and							
	refine understanding and increase ownership.							
	(c) The detail nested program representation will be defined during workplan development in Q1/2025.							
	(d) B4T team uses several systems to run MELIA processes (GLOMIP, Breeding Portal, PMP, PRMS). Q1/2025 will							
	require a detailed analysis of existing systems to clarify internally and externally how best use them and how							
	learning and adapting management will occur. The program will be informed by CG efforts around MELIA and							
	adopt useful inputs at CG System leve							
Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted: Detailed actions to be defined by incoming CGIAR Chief								
Scientist and 202530, Program	m/ Accelerator leadership							
(b), (c) & (d) – Fully accepted								



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action A) Partially accepted

A) Partially accepted Management Follow-up							
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?		
(a) To develop an appropriate Management Response against this recommendation, input from specific functions such as the Chief Scientist is required and CGIAR's new operational structure and Management Arrangements must first be operationalized.	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 2025-30; Program/ Accelerator leadership,	ТВС					
(b) Undertake "deep dive" for each level (Program/AoW) to clarify the roles and responsibilities of the stakeholders	Chief Scientist and interim B4T leadership	2025	Yes□	No□			
(c) Define and develop a detailed nested program representation during workplan development in Q1/2025.	Chief Scientist and interim B4T leadership	2025	Yes□	Noロ			
(d) Undertake a detailed analysis of existing PMEL processes and systems (GLOMIP, Breeding Portal, PMP, PRMS) and recommend how best to use them	Chief Scientist and interim B4T leadership	2025	Yes□	No□			



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action

Recommendation 9 (for CGIAR's work on Genetic Innovation (GI) (for action by GISG and then hand over to Chief Scientist, end of 2024 and beyond)/ and for portfolio 2025-30/science programs (for Science Program proposal authors/program management):

CGIAR and BT science program writing team/management: transition to a new era of transformative change in GI

- a. **Reflect on past efforts**: Build mechanisms to ensure critical reflection on the past CGIAR research program (CRP)/SG efforts to inform future strategies and approaches in the BT program.
- b. **Implement periodical learning cycles**: Use critical reflection cycles to manage transitions towards transformative change within the BT program.

Management Response		Fully accept	red 🗆	Partially accepted	1	Not accepted
Management Response (commentary): a) Participatory "write-shops" were held as part of the B4T proposal development process of the B4T proposal development process were held as part of the B4T proposal development process. b) This is central to the MELIA strategy and is implicit in the MELIA section of the proposal. follows CGIAR-wide best practice. In addition ENABLE AoW addresses this, specifically throw its work on impact assessment. Outcomes and appro						AT proposal development process which draws upon the reflection and "course- ing and management cycles. the MELIA section of the proposal. This W addresses this, specifically through Il inform critical reflection on past and s in the Breeding for Tomorrow
		Ma	nagement Follov	w-up		
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation			ther funding required – how much vhat is to be done if no funds able?
(a) The recommendation is noted with thanks and will be considered in the further development and operationalization of the management arrangements for the 2025-30 Portfolio.	CGIAR Chief Scientist and 2025-30; Program/ Accelerator leadership	ТВС	Yes□	No□		



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS: grouped temporally for individual SG as evaluand (short term), and for CGIAR Science Program teams and					
CGIAR management (mid to long term), to facilitate uptake and action					
(b) Program-level Adaptive	CGIAR Chief	ТВС			
Management (Reflect) will	Scientist and				
evolve per the Technical	2025-30;				
Reporting Arrangement	Program/				
25-30 currently under	Accelerator				
development. Broader	leadership				
portfolio adaptive					
management opportunities					
will be considered in the					
further development and					
operationalization of the					
management					
arrangements for the					
2025-30 Portfolio.					