

Evaluation Management Response

Evaluation title: Evaluation of CGIAR Platform for Big Data in Agriculture, 2021 Date Management Response provided to Advisory Services Shared Secretariat: 4 February 2022

The overall response to the evaluation:

The Platform for Big Data team appreciates the collaborative ethos with which the evaluation team conducted this review. We view many of their findings as confirmation of central hypotheses of the Platform for Big Data: data standards and open science infrastructure, digital partnerships, technical communities of practice, and applied digital innovation can build powerful new capabilities for accelerating impact in agricultural research for development; CGIAR has a critical leadership role to play. The team agrees with the evaluators' recommendations, wholly or in principle.

The evaluators' recommendations encompass the new research portfolio and CGIAR as an organization. Two primary vehicles are proposed for follow-on actions: the new cross-cutting research Initiative "Harnessing digital technologies for timely decision-making across food, land, and water systems" (Digital Transformation Initiative, hereafter) and the CGIAR System (in particular, the Digital Services unit). The two vehicles are still coming fully into being as the new portfolio ramps up, and the Digital Services unit is fully constituted. Once fully operational, each of these vehicles is expected to complement the other. They will collectively help "make the digital revolution central to our way of working" as called for in the 2030 Research and Innovation Strategy. For example, we envision that the Digital Services unit will lead the design and development of e-infrastructure, analytics platforms, curating data and digital assets, and establishing data management standards. In contrast, the Digital Transformation Initiative will lead the design of research processes, identify demands from research Initiatives, co-develop digital innovation and data analytics use-cases within those Initiatives' Theories of Change, and iteratively provide feedback and contribute to the further development and provision of enabling digital services. Both will benefit from building internal solid business partnerships and collaborative design with CGIAR Entities and Research Initiatives.

The Platform team, the Digital Transformation Initiative, the Digital Services team, and the evaluators share the view that One CGIAR presents an opportunity to elevate digital strategy and governance in our organization and that the Platform for Big Data offerings and <u>strategic research</u> <u>on digital transformation in food, land, and water systems</u> provide a valuable point of departure. On behalf of the Executive Management Team, we offer the following consolidated comments and proposed actions.

Persons-in-charge for Follow-up to Management Response: Khuloud Odeh, Global Director for Digital Services; and Jawoo Koo, Initiative Design Team Lead, "Harnessing Digital Technologies for Timely Decision-making Across Food, Land, and Water Systems" (Digital Transformation Initiative).



RECOMMENDATIONS and ACTIONS:

Recommendation 1 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Prioritize specific digital solutions for specific data (domains) aligned with agricultural research needs to demonstrate the value of the answer that (big) data can provide to support CGIAR's key priorities:

1.1 Develop a harmonized framework for modeling with a focus on given geography to strengthen feedback loops between results of CGIAR field trials and the design of policy instruments (guidelines, standards, notifications, circulars, and directives) through support to appropriate authorities.

1.2 Integrate e-infrastructure design and development efforts with efforts to demonstrate infrastructure usability.

1.3 Design a learning program tasked with identifying verifiable metrics to evaluate a big data pilot intervention at a dedicated site, preferably embedded within a regional network of NARES partners.

Management Response	Fully accepted 🗵	Partially accepted□	Not accepted □
Management Response (commentary):	A majority of these recommendations b Linking digital solutions (and their atter across diverse disciplines (genetic resou foresight, and the socioeconomic and en unified analytics capabilities and deliver Innovation Strategy. Achieving this will analytics, building community and capa and big data analytics, and establishing	wild on Platform work to date. Indant data) to specific research do urces, breeding informatics, large- nvironmental contexts of agricultur- ring the multidisciplinary research be a matter of setting and observ city, building more integrated dig data and digital governance for o	omains and supporting modeling scale agronomy/agroecology, ire) will be essential for building of the 2030 Research and ving data standards, leveraging ital infrastructure for modeling our organization.
Brief explanatory statement if the recomm	nendation is rejected or partially acce	epted: n/a	



Management Follow-up						
Actions to be implemented	Responsible ¹	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement the recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?	
1.1 Leverage multiple models and data to support policymakers and an array of decision-makers, working across One CGIAR initiatives.	Digital Transformation Initiative	2022-2024	Yes□	No 🗵		
1.2 Co-design, test, and refine science infrastructure with initiatives, external partners, and Digital Services	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes□	No 🗵		
1.3 Develop a big data pilot intervention co-designed with partners and an explicit learning agenda.	Digital Transformation Initiative	2022-2024	Yes□	No 🗵		

¹ Both "Digital Services" and "Digital Research Initiative" will be closely working together to implement all action areas. The identified party for each action area will take the lead, in coordination with the other.



Recommendation 2 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Prioritize and advance the Interoperability agenda, building on CGIAR's wide variety of datasets:

2.1 Develop mechanisms (communication or else) to demonstrate interoperability benefits with data integration (i.e., relevant to CGIAR mandate use cases).

2.2 Develop easy-to-use knowledge management (KM) tools from a wide variety of datasets to meet interoperability requirements.

2.3 Allocate more resources to metadata standardization (without replicating models already available) with appropriate semantic annotations, metadata on data quality and meta quality (e.g., FAIR description and metrics), sharing metadata and data services within an interoperable manner. This should build on existing standards and ontologies developed by international bodies, e.g., the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) and World Wide Web Consortium (W3C).

2.4 Add the semantic ontological knowledge base (semantic engine) in addition to the semantic enrichment of metadata from harmonized vocabulary and ontological terms, i.e., providing semantic reasoning along the keyword searching discovery capacity. Concretely, developing common definitions and standards of variables, to the extent possible, and keeping them the same over time, where feasible, is critical. These definitions should incorporate best practices in Data Stewardship as outlined by Plotkin (2014) and be monitored and maintained over time.

2.5 Develop a well-thought-out and inclusive plan for designing visual analytics that is appropriate to CGIAR domains, and primarily at the basis of the geographical and temporal aspects (date and reference period) for the Platform but also in combination with semantic queries results. Engage users for feedback.

2.6 Develop the data analytics using the interoperable services provided and with an awareness of the knowledge structure.

2.7 Develop and implement a plan to empirically assess the Data and Meta-Data quality, completeness, usefulness, and shape of the data using analytical tools during upload and over time: quality of data and meta-data is critical for the adoption and use.

2.8 Conduct/commission a study on the role and integration of specific e-infrastructures, including existing CGIAR services (for example, CGSpace), becoming more known as the reference point to look for CGIAR publications metadata.

2.9 Strengthen the feedback loop: (i) develop and implement a plan to track outcomes of data and other digital artifacts developed or accessed through the Platform in terms of measurable impacts of the gathered data over time to the extent possible (ii) record end-user usage, results from data analytics methods, with feedback to the knowledge structuration, i.e., usage and results as dynamic metadata and 3rd type of the Open science aspects complementing publications and datasets, e.g., scripts, models, and software (models as statistical or machine learning but also biophysical models, crop



models) and, (iii) track systematically and continuously usage analytics to evaluate the impact in terms of usability for CGIAR researchers and outside for each new launch and facilities provided, then, to be able to incorporate feedback and lessons to refine these facilities accordingly. This monitoring is also useful for the Quality of Science (e.g., views and download metrics).

2.10 Consider several 'Vs' (Volume, Value, Variety, Velocity, and Veracity) of big data in adopting and/or developing measures that go beyond FAIR to help add value to data along the continuum from storage to analysis and reporting/publishing. Such an approach would facilitate the development of measures for each of the 'Vs' and improve monitoring over time.

Management Response		Fully accepted 🗵		Partially accepted□		Not accepted □
Management Response (co	ommentary):	A majority of these recommendations build on Platform work to date. Overall, they should be oriented by a process better to define the desired future state of our organization and implemented according to unifying digital governance in support of the organization's strategy. One CGIAR presents an opportunity to do this.				
Brief explanatory stateme	nt if recommend	ation is rejected or p	partially accepted	d: n/a		
Management Follow-up						
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If furt much	ther funding required – how and what is to be done if no funds available?
2.1 Continue to develop analytic pipelines for common use-cases leveraging re-usable CGIAR data, and promote these through communications channels.	Digital Services	2022-2024	Yes 🗆	No 🗵		



2.2 Build on and support tools easing research data annotation and management to ensure CGIAR research data is Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable (FAIR)	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes 🗆	No 🗵	
2.3 Task a team with a clear mandate to oversee research data quality and implementation of data standards.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes ⊠	No 🗆	The commenters recommend hiring or reassigning two full-time data analysts supporting the Data Science lead, who can help Center data managers implement organizational data standards, review data quality, and support Center biostatistical units. If no funds are available, this mandate could conceivably be given to a voluntary data governance committee, but it would have less capability to oversee or support.
2.4 Task a team with a clear mandate to oversee research data quality and implementation of data standards.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes 🗵	No 🗆	The Platform recommends hiring or reassigning two full-time data analysts supporting the Data Science Lead, who can help Center data managers withimpleanizational data standards, review data quality, and support Center biostatistical units. If no funds are available, this mandate could conceivably be given to a voluntary data governance committee, but it



					would have less capability to oversee or support.
2.5 Develop at least one visual analytics service supporting cross-cutting CGIAR research themes, and keepment one or more commonly used visualization tools for CGIAR research supporting semantic queries to accommodate visual analytics across the diversity of CGIAR research domains.	Digital Services	2022-2024	Yes 🗆	No 🗵	
2.6 Develop data analytics services leveraging interoperable data in support of One CGIAR initiatives.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes 🗆	No 🗵	
2.7 Develop and implement a plan to empirically assess the Data and Meta-Data quality.	Digital Services	2022	Yes ⊠	No 🗆	The Platform recommends hiring or engaging data analysts or biostatistical experts to assess and support research data quality.
2.8 Inventory CGIAR e- infrastructures for research and evaluate their fitness- for-purpose in light of	Digital Services	2022	Yes 🗆	No 🗆	



requirements of researchers, partners, and users and the desired future state of the organization. Use this information to rationalize e- infrastructure investments.					
2.9 Develop more integrated CGIAR e- research infrastructure to establish better feedback between research data and the impact of data use and re-use, including research data and publication repositories, performance management systems, and project management tools.	Digital Services	2022-2024	Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
2.10 Develop measures that go beyond FAIR to help add value to data along the continuum from storage to analysis and reporting/publishing.	Digital Services		Yes □	No 🗆	



Recommendation 3 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Strengthen the conceptualization (Theory of Change; ToC) of how the impact of agricultural development can be increased by embracing big data and ICT approaches to solve development problems faster, better, and at a greater scale:

3.1 Develop a ToC that articulates clearly how big data analytics can enable CGIAR research to lead to development outcomes.

3.2 Ensure cross-cutting themes (gender and youth) are addressed more systematically and driven by a clear strategy with specific and adapted engagement mechanisms.

3.3 Reach outside of CGIAR and/or other agri-food organizations including other sectors advanced in the digitalization process to explore what works in big data platforms/ digital transformation, etc.

Management Response		Fully accepted 🗵		Partially accepted \square		Not accepted 🗆	
Management Response (c	The Platform-led <u>strategic research on digital transformation in food, land, and water systems</u> provides a valuable point of departure for two of these recommendations. The cross-cutting themes will be developed by the cross-cutting Digital Transformation research initiative and Digital Services.						
Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted: n/a							
Management Follow-up							
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If furth much a funds a	ner funding required – how and what is to be done if no available?	
3.1 Implement and continue to refine the draft Theory of Change from the "Strategic Research" report.	Digital Transformation Initiative	2022-2024	Yes 🗆	No 🗵			



3.2 Systematically and strategically address gender and youth inclusion and co-creation in data analytics and digital innovation efforts.	Digital Transformation Initiative	2022-2024	Yes 🗆	No 🗵	
3.3 Build on extensive consultative research in the "Strategic Research" report to identify and incorporate relevant good practice from other organizations or sectors.	Digital Services	2022-2024	Yes 🗆	No 🗵	

Recommendation 4 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Raise CGIAR Entities' engagement to ensure technology solutions uptake: this can be achieved by an inclusive governance system, leveraging existing tools and incentives:

4.1 Give more power to CGIAR Entities at the decision-making level for example all participating Entities can be represented and have a voice in the Platform steering committee.

4.2 Use incentives i.e., building in a Peer-Reviewed Journal for data and meta-data, encouraging scientists through internal performance management to include their data in publications, etc.

4.3 Clarify through effective communication the mandate (avoid overpromising) and mission of the Platform or similar future initiatives. Use with caution the word 'Big Data'. The CGIAR system is characterized much more by the variety of its data rather than its size, yet its infrastructure and capability have the potential to grow into a platform that can collect and hold 'big data' in the perceived "classical" sense.



Management Response	Fully accepted 🗆	Partially accepted 🗵	Not accepted 🗆
Management Response (commentary):	While we agree in principle, these speci perceptions of the Platform that may ha actions of the Platform and what was le experience.	fic recommendations appear to be ave been shared with the evaluato arned from them. Specific actions	e more rooted in stakeholder ors rather than in the particular s suggested below build on this

Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted:

We are agreed that responsive, inclusive governance is essential, as long as the size of boards and steering committees are kept to an effective and manageable number of representatives. This principle will be applied towards the forthcoming governance bodies supporting Digital Services units and sub-units.

The Platform tested multiple incentives, including data sprints and participation in special journal editions, and found that elevating data sharing and standards to the level of policy and strategy—and then integrating this into detailed performance metrics—will be critical for success. The Digital Services will build on these efforts, taking a take human-centered (design thinking) approach to the digital and data transformation to ensure that digital and data solutions delivered by the unit are identified, co-designed, and responds to all stakeholders and intended users - add value, solve a problem and provide a delightful user experience. This inclusive and engaging approach should lead to increased adoption.

The Platform has always used and promoted a working definition of "big data" that emphasizes the potential power of available, interoperable data across domains, independent of the relative 'bigness' of the data. Several CGIAR research domains or methods (e.g., high throughput phenotyping, various types of geospatial analysis) do indeed move into "big data" territory. In the future, the big data approach will become an enabler to uncover new sources and untraditional data sources to develop new data sets to inform research and innovation, such as real/ semi real-time data, mobile network data, social, geospatial, and data from machine learning/ text/image analysis, web scraping, and more. The development of big data-enabled methods will be an essential complement to the portfolio.

Specific actions reflecting this view are suggested below.



	Management Follow-up							
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?			
4.1 Develop inclusive, responsive, and functional digital governance for research.	Digital Services	2022	Yes□	No⊠				
4.2 Mainstream research data sharing, standards, and good management practice in the organization, particularly through performance metrics.	Digital Services	2022-2024	Yes□	No⊠				
4.3 Model good practice and communications regarding "big data" analytics	Digital Transformation Initiative	2022-2024, and renew for subsequent cycles of Initiatives	Yes□	No⊠				



Recommendation 5 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Build a new harmonized and interoperable analytical environment in CGIAR based on accumulated knowledge from the experience of the Platform's implementation:

5.1 Develop a computing workflow for how data will be organized, transformed, and visualized to support the identification of a robust monitoring framework that would enable the contribution or attribution of policy changes to AR4D. Include stakeholders in the design from the beginning.

5.2 Consider the Platform's implementation experience (lessons learned, successes, and failures) and the 2021 Strategic Research on Digital Transformation assessment to inform efforts to centralize research data management and stewardship under One CGIAR. One CGIAR can build on CoPinitiated discussions on constraints and potential ways forward.

Management Response	Fully accepted ⊠	Partially accepted□	Not accepted□			
Management Response (commentary):	We agree with the recommendations. 5.1: Multiple Initiatives have expressed visions largely align with the recommer application architecture, procure the su cloud-based infrastructure to support th 5.2: Centralized research data manage a globally trusted and respected research Research Delivery and Impact Division activities that involve digital innovation	their needs for a purpose-built condation. Digital Service will provide bscription of commercial data, and the Initiative's AR4D impacts. ment and stewardship will be a critch organization. Digital Services wito ensure high-quality standards as (e.g., evaluation of digital tools	omputing workflow, and their e technical support to design the d deploy the workflow on a ucial element of One CGIAR as vill coordinate with One CGIAR are applied to the AR4D to collect data, responsible data			
Brief explanatory statement if recommend	management, and ethical use of data and research tools). Continued support on the technical Communities of Practice will be instrumental to cultivating the culture of shared learning, organizatio peer-review and quality control, and collaborative research.					



Management Follow-up						
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?	
5.1 Develop an analytic environment serving CGIAR researchers, and leverage tighter integration between research data and publication repositories, performance management systems, and project management tools to better understand the digital contributions to impact (capacity, policies, and innovations).	Digital Services	Iteratively, 2022- 2024	Yes 🗆	No⊠		
 5.2 Integrate learning and recommendations from the 2021 Strategic Research into action planning and secure Board and Council approval for a One CGIAR digital strategy. Formalize linkages with internal Communities of Practice to ensure digital governance that 	Digital Services	2022	Yes⊠	No	Digital Services will convene interlinked data governance groups: a research data governance body and an enterprise data and digital governance council. CGIAR depends on voluntary technical communities of practice to manage the breadth and depth of domain expertise guiding our organization. These groups have grown out of common interest and the need for technical collaboration. They were crucial groups	



encompasses the breadth and depth of CGIAR domain expertise.					 informing the development of 2021 Strategic Research. They will continue to be an organizational asset for mainstreaming digital strategy, promoting innovation in research methods, and ensuring scientific rigor in the respective research areas. Some of these communities' costs would be covered for optimal functions, and their relationship with formal digital governance would be better defined. If no funding is available, they will continue, but ad hoc and subject to the vagaries of funding and other work demands.
--	--	--	--	--	--

Recommendation 6 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Improve grant scheme management, monitoring, and governance to foster the Platform's (or successors') relevance to contribute to solving agriculture development challenges:

6.1 Allocate more resources to deal with the high number of received proposals; enhance/create stronger feedback mechanisms throughout the process to document achievements and lessons learned.

6.2 Strengthen the link between funded projects and CGIAR digital facilities. For example; use selected projects as use cases to test new CGIAR capabilities.

6.3 Strengthen trust and ownership among CGIAR Entities by creating tighter and more transparent governance structures around grants' selection.

6.4 Rebalance distribution of grants between CGIAR Entities while ensuring the relevance of innovations selected.



6.5 In line with CGIAR's Gender and Diversity and Inclusion (GDI) strategy, ensure diversity in the decision-making body, for example through including youth in the grant selection committee.

6.6 Ensure collaboration with national innovation ecosystems to diversify applications and to harness the capacities of such innovation ecosystems for national-level advancement of big data for agriculture and AR4D.

6.7 Build a tailored monitoring and evaluation system to track results and for timely decisions.

Management Response	Fully accepted □	Partially accepted 🗵	Not accepted □		
Management Response (commentary):	(See below, as we partially accept the recommendations)				

Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted:

While we agree in principle—and also agree with the implication that grants for piloting digital innovations can be an essential enhancement to how CGIAR cultivate cultivates innovations—these specific recommendations appear to be more rooted in stakeholder perceptions of the Platform that may have been shared with the evaluators in light of the broader institutional context, rather than the specific actions of the Platform. Historically CGIAR has been a diffuse organization working to build trust and unified functioning across Entities (i.e., Centers and the System Organization). One common perception encountered by the Platforms and CGIAR Research Programs was that large Centres monopolized opportunities. The Platform team worked assiduously to overcome this perception, build trust and create equitable opportunities. Moving forward, the unified structures and functions of the One CGIAR will move us beyond the historical challenges of diffusion and competition among entities. They will provide the operating environment for a genuinely integrated and equitable approach to governing and managing resources for digital innovation.

For the specific purpose of sourcing, fostering, and scaling digital innovations, the platform's finding (substantiated by current literature) is that it is not good practice to presuppose where good ideas will come from. Instead, a good practice is to invite all potential solutions to a problem and then engage an independent selection body with the right mix of domain expertise and diversity of view to decide on awards. Such a process prioritizes the quality and potential impact of the innovations themselves over any specific entity.

Digital Services aims to build on this experience, creating a unit specifically dedicated to supporting data and digital innovation in the portfolio, in close coordination and co-design with new research initiatives.

Specific actions suggested below build on this experience.



	Management Follow-up							
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?			
6.1 Allocate more resources to deal with the high number of received proposals; enhance/create more robust feedback mechanisms throughout the process to document achievements and lessons learned.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes	No 🗵	The "large number of proposals" was generated in a grant process under the earlier portfolio. In the future, Digital Services aims to build on this experience, creating a unit specifically dedicated to supporting data and digital innovation in the portfolio, close coordination, and co-design with new research initiatives.			
6.2 Strengthen the link between funded projects and CGIAR digital facilities. For example, use selected projects as use cases to test new CGIAR capabilities.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes	No 🗵	Digital Services aims to build on this experience, creating a unit specifically dedicated to supporting data and digital innovation in the portfolio, close coordination and co-designing new research initiatives.			
6.3 Model good practice for implementing processes designed to source, foster, and scale digital innovation—communicate	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes	No 🗵	Digital Services aims to build on this experience, creating a unit specifically dedicated to supporting data and digital innovation in the portfolio, close coordination and co-designing new research initiatives.			



widely, often, and clearly to manage any misperception.					
6.4 Apply good practice and inclusive governance in selection, fostering, and scaling of digital innovations, and communicate widely, often, and clearly to manage any misperceptions.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes	No⊠	Digital Services aims to build on this experience, creating a unit specifically dedicated to supporting data and digital innovation in the portfolio, close coordination and co-designing new research initiatives.
6.5 Strengthen inclusive governance related to selection, fostering, and scaling of digital innovations, including youth in the grant selection committee.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes□	No⊠	Digital Services aims to build on this experience, creating a unit specifically dedicated to supporting data and digital innovation in the portfolio, in close coordination and co-design with new research initiatives.
6.6 Co-design innovation challenges with stakeholders in national innovation ecosystems to diversify applications and to harness the capacities of such innovation ecosystems for national-level advancement of big data for agriculture and AR4D.	Digital Transformation Initiative	2022-2024	Yes 🗆	No⊠	



6.7 Build a tailored	Digital	2022-2030	Yes□	No⊠	
monitoring and evaluation	Services				
system for digital					
innovation grants to track					
results and for timely					
decisions leveraging project					
data and performance					
management systems.					

Recommendation 7 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Develop a One CGIAR (research) digital capability model and ensure the funding for a long-term digital plan with successive phases and a clear mandate building on the Strategic Research on Digital Transformation assessment:

7.1 Adopt a mission-driven digital innovation process under One CGIAR.

7.2 Develop integrated cross-cutting and cross-modal analytics capabilities. For this purpose, One CGIAR can build on CoP-initiated discussions on constraints and potential ways forward.

Management Response	Fully accepted 🗵	Partially accepted□	Not accepted□
Management Response (commentary):	 Fully agreed, and we share som See the One CGIAR digital capal serve as a valuable point of dep The Platform team recommends Challenge, which we have alway process. The evaluators found the CGIAR can effectively bridge insteady ecosystems. The Platform team and One CGI Research have continued to built 	e additional details: bility model developed as part of t arture: (<u>https://cqspace.cqiar.orc</u> building on the hard-won brand u ys promoted widely as CGIAR's sig hat the Inspire Challenge was wid stitutions for building responsible of CAR task teams for Data and Trans	the referenced report that may <u>handle/10568/113555</u>). recognition of the Inspire nature digital innovation grant ely respected and models how digital agriculture innovation sitional Digital Capabilities for ing and implementing the



unified analytics capabilities. One CGIAR will need to deliver the multidisciplinary research in the										
2030 Research and Innovation Strategy.										
Brief explanatory stateme	Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted: n/a									
		Mana	agement Follow-up							
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?					
7.1 Adopt a mission-driven digital innovation process under One CGIAR.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes 🗵	No□	Scaling good practice across the organization would be accelerated by having a centrally managed digital innovation grant fund with a dedicated team to manage the process. Digital Services aims to create a unit specifically dedicated to supporting data and digital innovation in the portfolio, in close coordination and co- design with new research initiatives, exploring new funding and finance partnerships for sourcing, fostering, and scaling digital innovations.					
7.2 Develop integrated cross-cutting and cross- modal analytics capabilities. For this purpose, leveraging CGIAR digital communities	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes 🗵	No□	This task will require a dedicated budget for Digital Services to develop (i.e., co-design, test, maintain) e- infrastructure for research Initiatives to build data analytics capabilities. Note					



of practice for decign			that the decign of grace outting applytic
fostering good practice, and			infrastructure is not within the scope of
scaling across the			these research Initiatives. Once
organization.			operational, Initiatives will be invited to
			co-design and buy into shared services.
			5 ,
			CGIAR technical communities of
			practice (i.e., crop modeling, data-
			driven agronomy, geospatial data
			sociooconomic data, ontology, and the
			information and data management) are
			information and data management) are
			voluntary groups grown out of common
			interest and need for technical
			collaboration. For their optimal
			function, some of the costs of running
			these communities and perhaps small
			amounts of the grant money will help
			accelerate the development and
			adoption of digital methods and more
			unified receased analytics. Up to provide
			the approximation analytics. Op to around
			\$100,000 per year per community has
			proven effective.
			If no funding is available, technical
			communities will continue as an ad-hoc
			and entirely voluntary basis, and Digital
			Services will need to convince
			initiatives to 'buy in' to its expertise
			and offerings before the value of these
			has been demonstrated with fewer
			incontivos available to belo spark
			meentives available to help spark
			research collaboration and co-design.



Recommendation 8 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Lead the way in hosting open data and providing analytic tools for CGIAR and its partners as well as increasing data and funding (by showing its value):

8.1 Reach out and work with international bodies and invest in the development and adoption of standards. Commission a study to map and explore open APIs required for a variety of analytical tools to interface with the data.

Management Response		Fully accepted		Partially accepted□		Not accepted □
Management Response (commentary): Fully agreed. Leading the way on open and FAIR data, ethical and responsible use of analytic methods (including machine learning), and growing the value of CGIAR data use, and tracking the impact of doing so will require elevating this to a strategic and implementing and mainstreaming this approach with researchers, and investing in an common e-infrastructures. Digital Services will drive digital strategy and scale across partner organizations. Digital Transformation Initiative will model good practice, devertices, and share the learning.					responsible use of data and alue of CGIAR data through re- to a strategic and policy level, and investing in and building gy and scale across CGIAR and good practice, develop use-	
Brief explanatory stateme	nt if recommend	lation is rejected o	or partially accep	ted: n/a		
		Man	agement Follow	-up		
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If furth much a funds a	ner funding required – how and what is to be done if no available?
8.1 Reach out and work with international bodies and invest in developing and adopting standards. Commission a study to map	Digital Services	2022-2024, iterating and learning through	Yes□	No⊠		



and explore open APIs	successive		
required for a variety of	initiative cycles		
analytical tools to interface			
with the data.			

Recommendation 9 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

Develop data synthesis tools that are amenable for use by decision-makers to support data co-curation.

9.1 CGIAR should support blended learning preferably embedded with a regional network of NARES to build capacity to advance data interoperability and reuse based on use cases curated at dedicated sites. Lessons from the Agronomy and Ontologies CoP can be consolidated to support continuous learning through engagement with data and analytics.

Management Response	Fully accepted 🗵	Partially accepted □	Not accepted□
Management Response (commentary):	Fully agreed, and we suggest b based on the Platform's experie example, is still uncertain. The recommendations regardin models for implementation. The workshops directly and found th create scalable models. Ultimat building was found in a pilot "D service Coursera, which feature subjects and competency levels	reaking this recommendation out ence. The continuity of the Agron g learning would benefit from some Platform developed content and nat the costs and the diversity of ely the most cost-effective and s ata Science Academy" implement s fully university-accredited cour	into more distinct actions omy and Ontologies CoPs, for ne examples of cost-effective implemented several needs made it challenging to calable approach to capacity ted via the online learning ses across a vast array of
Brief explanatory statement if recommendation	is rejected or partially accept	ea: n/a	



Management Follow-up						
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?	
9. Develop data synthesis tools that are amenable for use by decision-makers to support data co-curation.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes□	No⊠		
9.1 Develop a big data pilot intervention, co- designed with partners and with an explicit learning agenda.	Digital Transformation Initiative	2022-2024 (iterating and learning through successive initiative cycles)	Yes□	No⊠		
9.1.1 Complement learning from specific pilots with remote learning offerings/certificated online courses.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes□	No⊠		
9.1.2 Leverage CGIAR digital communities of practice for design, fostering good practice, and scaling across the organization.	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes⊠	No□	CGIAR technical communities of practice are voluntary groups grown out of common interest and need for technical collaboration. For their optimal function, some of the costs of running these communities and perhaps small amounts of the grant money will help accelerate the	



		development and adoption of digital methods and more unified research analytics. Up to around \$100,000 per year (requiring a split between coordination and mini-grants) per community has proven effective.
		If no funding is available, these communities will continue on an entirely voluntary and ad-hoc basis.

Recommendation 10 (copied from the Evaluation Report):

CGIAR develops a data curation and transformation dashboard to enable CGIAR and partners to access tools and technical support to undertake data harvesting, data harmonization, and visualization.

10.1 The impact of a data dashboard in monitoring data quality, generating anonymized datasets, and reporting on progress towards Sustainable Development Goal outcomes and the publishing of research results is likely to impact positively on the CGIAR Quality of Science (QoS).

Management Response	Fully accepted 🗵	Partially accepted□	Not accepted □		
Management Response (commentary):	Fully agreed, and we note that this effort will build on Platform investments as well as those of the System Organization's Programs Unit and well-advanced design work conducted by the Platform and One CGIAR Task Teams for Data and Transitional Digital Capabilities for Research.				
	Digital Services will lead the design and de Performance Unit. At the same time, Digit Initiatives to identify demands, develop us assessment research, and provide feedbac		and development processes, working closely with the Portfolio e, Digital Transformation Initiative will liaise with Research elop use-cases, test with critical stakeholders, conduct impact feedback iteratively to continue improving the dashboard.		



Brief explanatory statement if recommendation is rejected or partially accepted:							
Management Follow-up							
Actions to be implemented	Responsible	Timeframe	Is additional funding required to implement recommendation		If further funding required – how much and what is to be done if no funds available?		
10.1 Implement a data dashboard for monitoring data quality, generating anonymized datasets, and reporting on progress towards Sustainable Development Goal outcomes and the publishing of research results in order to support CGIAR Quality of Science (QoS) and Quality of Research for Development (QoR4D).	Digital Services	2022-2030	Yes	No⊠			